

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT	E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/725,678 12/02/2003	Howard J. Dinkins	D0511.10U	5061	
29633 7590 . 05/	8/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROGERS TOWERS, P.A.		PATTERSON, MARIE D .		
1301 RIVERPLACE BOULEN JACKSONVILLE, FL 32207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		3728		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sp
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>D</i>
	10/725,678	DINKINS, HOWARD) J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marie Patterson	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this common the common than the comm	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	pril 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	· •	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-13,15,16 and 18-22</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-13,15,16 and 18-22</u> is/are reject	ted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO	ı -152 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document and copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the later at its and priority document application from the later at its and priority document.	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been	pplication No	tage
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	raceived	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	receivea.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
	٠, <u> </u>	_	

Application/Control Number: 10/725,678 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Charles (1945420).

Charles shows a shoe protective device comprising a main body (10) composed of flexible material, an opening with an elastic hem member (14) for holding the device on the heel of a shoe, reinforcement (15), a ridge member (top rear portion shown in figures 1-3) inasmuch as the claims, drawings, and specification have shown and/or described, and a generally planar bottom member (12) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Charles.

Charles discloses the claimed invention except for the exact material for the main body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a polymer foam, since it has been held to be within the

Application/Control Number: 10/725,678

Art Unit: 3728

general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 8-13, 15, 16, and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 5. unpatentable over Charles in view of either Walker (4727659) or Shabazz (4662082).

Charles shows a device substantially as claimed except for an aperture in the planar bottom. Either Walker or Shabazz teaches providing an aperture in a planar bottom to accommodate low heels inside the device and allow higher heels to protrude through. It would have been obivous to provide an aperture as taught by either Walker or Shabazz in the device of Charles to accommodate high heels.

In reference to the exact materials, Charles discloses the claimed invention except for the exact material for the main body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a polymer foam, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' argument that no reference shows the amended claimed structures, it is noted that Charles shows a shoe protective device comprising a main body (10) composed of flexible material, an opening with an elastic hem member (14) for holding the device on the heel of a shoe, reinforcement (15), a ridge member (top

Application/Control Number: 10/725,678 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

rear portion shown in figures 1-3) inasmuch as the claims, drawings, and specification have shown and/or described, and a generally planar bottom member (12) as claimed.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(703) 872-9306</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,678

Art Unit: 3728

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728





